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5- **Exciting** proposal, among the best; meets all our criteria for evaluation
- impeccable scholarship (previous work in the field, methods, expected results)
- background description for project detailed and accessible to non-specialists
- applicant explains qualifications in detail
- timetable feasible, outcomes and goals clearly articulated
- full explanation of resources and research facilities
- clearly demonstrated need for funding
- clear explanation of importance of the project to the College and to the member's work and to the field of study in general

4- **Excellent**, above average proposal; certainly ought to be funded
- excellent scholarship (previous work in the field, methods, expected results)
- background description for project detailed and clear
- applicant demonstrates qualifications based on previous work and experience
- good explanation of resources and research facilities
- timetable feasible, outcomes and goals presented
- clear explanation of importance of the project to the College and to the member's work
- demonstrates need for funding

3- **Average proposal**; would likely be funded if funds prove sufficient
- good scholarship (previous work in the field, methods, expected results)
- background description for project adequate
- applicant demonstrates qualifications to undertake project
- demonstrates need for funding
- adequate explanation of resources and research facilities
- explains importance of project to the applicant’s professional development
- demonstrates need for funding
- proposal may be weak or unclear in one of the areas mentioned above

2- **Has some merit**, but won’t likely get funded
- project shows promise
- background, scholarship, or applicant’s qualifications not well-demonstrated
- need for use of resources and research facilities not clearly explained
- need for funding unclear based on the proposal

1- **Not persuaded** of the value of the project or the needs for our scarce funds
- lacking in scholarship, background, or applicant’s qualifications
- explanation of need for funding inadequate